

Source Removal and In-Situ Treatment of TCE and Cr+6 Towards Closure Under RCRA Corrective Action

Keith E. Owens, P.G. (Earth Tech, Oak Ridge, TN) and William DiGuiseppi, P.G. (Earth Tech, Greenwood Village, CO)



A manufacturing facility in East Tennessee was a former RCRA permitted treatment, storage, and disposal facility with surface lagoons, solvent degreasers, drum storage areas, a waste oil pit, and two TCE underground storage tanks (USTs). Multiple groundwater plumes extended off site and an ineffective groundwater pump and treat contaminant system suggested decades of cleanup operations ahead.

Insitu Reductive Bioremediation was selected as the remedial method that would get the site to closure more efficiently under the facilities RCRA Corrective Action Program

Reductive Dechlorination Pathway

\$ 12

cis-1,2-DCE

Ŷ

Vinyl Chloride

C 8

0

12

12

trans-1,2-DCE

000

D. Owgen Alers

Reductive Dechlorination

 Bench scale treatability studies performed in Earth Tech's Pueblo Colorado facility

- Optimized reductive substrate and defined engineering design parameters for effective reductive dechlorination process

 Field pilot testing in three areas, 150 foot long rows
- perpendicular to groundwater flow

· Drove the aquifer anoxic from oxygen reduction potential (ORP) levels of up to 270 to levels as low as -262 within the first 3 months

· Significant rainfall caused temporary re-oxidation of aquifer, which lasted several months

 Three additional injections: sodium lactate upgradient of the plant building to allow relatively rapid migration of the electron donor under the building, and HRC[®] along the property line and off site for longevity

Implemented continuous feed injections inside of the building . Cr+6 reduction in the area of the closed lagoons has been

slower than anticipated

· Calcium polysulfide injections planned







ICE Source investigation TCE releases attributed to three former TCE vapor degreasers Membrane Interface Probe (MP) sampling contimmed vertical distribution of chlorinated VOCs: widespread under the building, extended to the water table at high concentrations, decreased at the water table, showed no increase with depth in saturated soils, and declined to below detection limits above bedrock No evidence of DNAPL below water table.

- TCE Source Removal
- ILC SUICE REMOVAL Designed and installed Soil Vapor Extraction (SVE) through the building floor. Operated for a year, reducing the original impacted area to non-detects and removing more than 3,000 pounds of VOCs. (See graph below) The system operated until extracted soil vapor levels dropped to below 42 ugL, a model derived trigger value protective of groundwater.

Groundwater Remedial Action

Injected Sodium Lactate and HRC ORP driver is negative

- Complete reduction noted
- Complete reduction noted
 Groundwater Results
 Significant success in reducing the volume of the plume above 0.100 mg/L from combination of SVE, Pump & Treat (P&T), and
 ISRB. Graph below depicts P&T removal.
 Maximum TCE concentration neduced from 121 mg/L to 0.298 mg/L in just 4 years
- Figures at right depict the changes in the TCE groundwater plume since 1998, prior to source removal and reductive dechlorination activities, through January 2006
 Chromium Source Identification/Remediation

- and onlinin sounde teeninkaatowneneeledatown Two hexavalent chromium sources: a leaking pipe under the chrome plating sump, and a second source near the closed lagoons Sodium lactate and HRC substrate injections have created conditions to chemically reduce hexavalent chromium to trivialent chromium, but hydrogeologic conditions have no termitted completer remediation at the closed lagoons
- The maximum total chromium level immediately downgradient of the plating shop has been reduced from 6.01 mg/L to 0.05 mg/L. Remediation at the closed lagoons is occurring at a slower rate than at other portions of the site
- Remedial Action Completion Strategy For TCE in groundwater: Continue substrate injections beneath building

- When TCE levels drop below MCLs, stop injecting and monitor until the aquifer reaches remedial goals, possible future MNH
- if necessary.
- Continued localized injections to address recalcitrant areas
- For Closed Lagoons:
 Continue to monitor to await restoration of natural conditions
- Possible injection of recalcitrant areas, Calcium polysulfide injections being considered Reduce monitoring to biennial frequency

Contaminant Mass Removal





ø

